

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **EL PASO DIVISION**

JUN 03 2025

			EL PA	SO DIV	ISION	CLERK, U.S.	S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF TEXAS
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			OF AMERICA,	§		BY	C C OF TEXAS
v. (5) JAIME RENTERIA-FERNANDEZ,				\$ \$ \$ No. EP-21-CR-0 \$ \$		DEPUTY CLERK	
(0) 0.			,	Ü	DESIDING TOLLI		
			ORDER OF DETE	LNIION	PENDING TRIAL		
	Upon t ⊠		n of the Government attor	ney pursi	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142((f)(1) (presum	ption
	☐ the Co	Motion	n of the Government or Co	ourt's ow	n motion pursuant to 18	U.S.C. § 3142	(f)(2),
		pursua	detention hearing and fou int to Defendant's waiver stention is warranted.			ition hearing a	nd found
§ 3142			forth the Court's findings any other findings made			s required by 1	8 U.S.C.
		Part II	- Findings of Fact and	Law as t	o Presumptions under §	§ 3142(e)	
	A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met: (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1):					assure the en met:	
			(a) a crime of violence,) for whi	on of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, och a maximum term of i		
			(c) an offense for which prescribed in the Cor	a maxin ntrolled mport an	timum sentence is life im num term of imprisonme Substances Act (21 U.s.c. ad Export Act (21 U.S.C. C. §§ 70501-70508); or	nt of 10 years S.C. §§ 801	or more is -904), the
			(d) any felony if such pe in subparagraphs (a) the offenses that would hav	erson has rough (c) e been of rcumstan	been convicted of two or of this paragraph, or twee ffenses described in subplace giving rise to Federal	o or more Staragraphs (a)	ite or local hrough (c)
					vise a crime of violence	but involves:	(i) a minor

a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

1

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if

18 U.S.C. § 2250; and

victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under

		(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and					
		(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.					
	B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses: [X] (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed						
		(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);					
		 (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; 					
		(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or					
		(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.					
\boxtimes	C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above						
		The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.					
	OR □	The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.					
		Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention					
	on hear	considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the ing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the as proven:					
\boxtimes	By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.						
\boxtimes	By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will						

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

\boxtimes	Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
\boxtimes	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
	Prior criminal history
	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
	The defendant was on probation at the time of arrest
	The defendant was on bond for a similar offense at the time of arrest
	The defendant has not complied with terms of supervision previously imposed
\boxtimes	History of violence or use of weapons
\boxtimes	History of alcohol or substance abuse
\boxtimes	Lack of stable employment
	Lack of stable residence
	Lack of financially responsible sureties
\boxtimes	Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
	The defendant has resided in this community for only a short period
\boxtimes	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	The defendant is without permission to reside in the United States
	The defendant is a citizen of Mexico
\boxtimes	The defendant resides in Mexico
	If convicted, the defendant will likely be deported from the United States
	The defendant has been previously removed from the United States
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER	R REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court finds that the presumption has not been overcome in this case. The Defendant is charged with various serious charges including Murder Resulting From the Use and Carrying of Firearms During and in Relation to a Drug Trafficking Crime and Aiding and Abetting for which the maximum possible sentence in punishment by death or by imprisonment for any term of years or for life. There was evidence presented that the Defendant worked as security for the criminal organization, that he was known as the Enforcer, and that he shot two individuals and killed one because it was believed they had stolen methamphetamine belonging to co-defendant Alex Barraza. Furthermore, the Defendant has an active warrant for Homicide from Bernalillo County in Albuquerque, New Mexico, that was issued in November 2022. Also, there was evidence presented that the Defendant is responsible for another murder in Mexico due to drugs being stolen. Further, the Defendant has been using illegal drugs for over 10 years, and the evidence shows that he has continued to violate the law by using, and thereby illegally possessing, illegal drugs on a daily basis. Finally, there was evidence presented that the Defendant resides in Mexico and has been doing so for the past approximately 4 to 5 years, that the Defendant likely fled to Mexico after the shootings and the subsequent murder, and that the Defendant was using a false name and false identification document while residing in Mexico. Accordingly, the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there are no conditions or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community, and by a preponderance of evidence that there are no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

SIGNED AND ENTERED on June 3, 2025.

ANNE T. BERTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE